

**DOMESTIC INFLUENCES AFFECTING  
THAILAND'S FOREIGN ECONOMIC  
COOPERATION POLICY:  
A CASE STUDY ON AFTA**

**By  
Suvicha Pouaree**

**A Dissertation Submitted in Partial  
Fulfillment of The Requirements for The Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Development Administration)  
School of Public Administration  
National Institute of Development Administration**


**ISBN 974-231-219-2**


**2001**


**DOMESTIC INFLUENCES AFFECTING THAILAND'S FOREIGN  
ECONOMIC COOPERATION POLICY:  
A CASE STUDY ON AFTA  
Suvicha Pouaree  
School of Public Administration**

---

The Examining Committee Approved This Dissertation Submitted in Partial  
Fulfillment of The Requirements for The Degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
(Development Administration)

Associate Professor..........Committee Chairman  
(Juree Vichit-Vadakarn, Ph.D.)

Professor..........Committee  
(Sombat Thamrongthanyawong, Ph.D.)

..........Committee  
(Marjorie Suriyamongkol, Ph.D.)

## ABSTRACT

**Title of Dissertation :** Domestic Influences Affecting Thailand's Foreign  
Economic Cooperation Policy: a Case Study on AFTA  
**Author :** Mr. Suvicha Pouaree  
**Degree :** Doctor of Philosophy (Development Administration)  
**Year :** 2001

---

This research is to study about Thailand's AFTA policy-making process as a political activity where various ideas and interests may be in contention. The research focuses on three research questions: (1) who are actors contributing to the dynamic process of Thailand's AFTA policy? (2) how and why were various domestic actors and their interests crucial to Thailand's AFTA policy-making process? (3) did interest groups from industry and business play an important role in the process of Thailand's foreign economic cooperation and policy-making?

The research argues that ideas, interests and positions of political leaders and/or policy-makers are domestic influences affecting Thailand's AFTA policy-making. Those policy-makers' ideas, interests and positions related to Thailand's AFTA policy will determine their decisions and actions on the policy. In order to explain and analyse the way in which those domestic policy-makers' ideas, interests, and positions influenced the policy, a combined use of the elite model, group theory and bureaucratic politics model help to analyse relevant information in this research.

The research makes use of qualitative methods as its research methodology. The research begins with documentary research to gain a clear general picture about the process of Thailand's AFTA policy-making, and to identify key players of the policy-making process. Then the research employs the method of in-depth interviewing. A total of sixteen key informants gave formal interviews for this research. In order to answer all of those three research questions, four brief explanations are:

- (1) Actors who contributed to the dynamic process of Thailand's AFTA policy were: (1) political leaders of the government; (2) cabinet members, particularly the Minister of Finance, the Minister of Commerce and deputy Prime Minister in charge of economic policy-making and administering; (3) bureaucrats including those policy advocates such as Minister's or the Prime Minister's advisors; (4) private interest groups, including Federation of Thai Industry.
- (2) Those actors were crucial to Thailand's AFTA policy-making process because their ideas, interests and positions related to the policy and determined their decisions and action on the policy. This included policy-makers' interactions with each other to influence the policy.
- (3) Thailand's AFTA policy was made based on: (1) the top-down policy-making process; (2) interest groups' influences; and (3) bureaucratic politics among policy-makers.
- (4) Interest groups from industry and business played an important role in the process of Thailand's AFTA policy-making by both supporting and working against the policy. Their roles were based on their ideas, and interests relevant to the policy.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to many:

To my parents and family members who have supported me, both in kind and in cash, throughout the seven years of my doctoral degree studying; To my dissertation's advisors who have provided me with knowledge and excellent recommendations for research and dissertation writing; To all professors and lecturers who have taught me at NIDA; To all professors and lecturers who have taught me at other institutions including Ramkhamhaeng University, and the University of Wollongong, Australia; To all interviewees who have provided me with relevant research information; To all officials at NIDA who have helped me to solve many technical problems; To all government officials, particularly from the Department of Business Economics, the Office of Fiscal Policy, the Office of the Permanent Secretary of the Finance Ministry, and the Department of Customs, for providing me with rich information and suggestions; To all libraries where I used their services – NIDA's Library, Chulalongkorn University's Central Library, Library of the Faculty of Political Science at Chulalongkorn University, Thammasart University Library, and Bangkok Post Information Centre; To my friends and respected senior friends and/or persons at NIDA, the Thai-Australian Association, and elsewhere for their kindly support. To my bosses and colleagues at Bangkok Post who have understood how much pressure I have been facing during my seven years of studying and working;

Finally, for my own enthusiasm to get a Ph.D.; although it must be exchanged for seven years of a tough, and suffering period, but ultimately, my dream has come through.

Suvicha Pouaree

September 2001